

COMMUNITY JUSTICE SECURITY

EXECUTIVE ORDER 0073

Agreement for Community Grants with Northamptonshire Community Foundation

By the authority of the Police and Crime Commissioner as designated by the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011, and, by democratic mandate invested in me by the electorate of Northamptonshire, I have taken the following decisions:

To approve the £10,000 service contract with the Community Foundation for them to provide administration in support of community grants to a total of £90,000 in 2017/18

Equality, Diversity and Human Rights

An Equality Impact Assessment was undertaken during the development of proposals

Other Advice Taken

Advice was taken from the EMSCU during the process

Stephen Mold NORTHAMPTONSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER 27 March 2017





COMMUNITY JUSTICE SECURITY

Northamptonshire Police and Crime Commission

Supporting Report to the Police and Crime Commissioner

Date of Report	24 th March 2017
Subject	Community Grants – Northamptonshire Community Foundation
Report Author	Helen Cook, Head of Involvement

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to set out an approach to providing small grants to organisations in the county to achieve the outcomes of the Police and Crime Plan.

2. Decision(s) Recommended:

2.1 That the Police and Crime Commissioner for Northamptonshire formally agrees the decision to enter a service contract for £10,000 (incorporating the Police Property Fund and Proceeds of Crime Act money) to the Community Foundation who will act as the intermediary for the granting of community funds (£90,000 in 2017/18) on behalf of Northamptonshire Police and Crime Commission.

3. Relevant background / Chronology of Key Events:

- 3.1 Over the last four years several approaches have been undertaken to grant funds to community organisations in Northamptonshire who aim to support the work of the Police and Crime Plan.
- 3.2 Following the decision in 2016 to dissolve the Office for Faith Based and Community Initiatives (OFBCI), a new approach is required for the PCC to grant funds to organisations. This approach needs to limit the administration time and costs for the OPCC in order to deliver the best possible outcomes for the public.
- 3.3 The Community Foundation has a strong history within the county acting as the intermediary for public funds with the voluntary and community sector. The reporting and evaluation of outcomes by the Community Foundation to public bodies and investors builds a robust evidence base with sustainable outcomes for the public of

Northamptonshire.

3.4 The Community Foundation also supports other organisations and granting arrangements in the county, notably the High Sheriff and Northamptonshire County Council.

4. Consultation:

- 4.1 The PCC has spoken with the Chief Constable in relation to the allocation of funds via the Community Foundation.
- 4.2 Other local partners who grant funds to the Community Foundation were also consulted as part of research into this approach.

5. Compliance Issues:

- 5.1 Is this a decision of 'significant public interest?'
- 5.1.1 The total amount of £100,000 (£10,000 administration, £90,000 for granting) and the fact that the fund is being set up to directly grant to community groups mean that this is a decision of significant public interest.
- 5.2 <u>Is the recommended decision consistent with the priorities set out in the</u> Northamptonshire Police and Crime Plan 2014/17?
- 5.2.1 The criteria for the award of grants via Northamptonshire Community Foundation have been created from the Police and Crime Plan objectives for Northamptonshire. £100,000 will be used for:

Keeping the Young Safe	Child Exploitation
	Early Intervention
	Online Safety
Putting Victims First	Supporting Victims and Witnesses to
÷ *	cope recover and thrive including victims of :
	Domestic Abuse
	Sexual Violence
	Modern day slavery and human
	trafficking
Protecting People from Harm	Preventing domestic abuse
	Preventing sexual violence
	Initiatives to support and prevent Drug
	and alcohol abuse
	Initiatives to tackle cyber-enabled crime
	Mental Health Initiatives
Community Partnerships	Increasing visibility

	Road Safety initiatives Initiatives to tackle ASB and Hate Crime
	Initiatives to tackle ASD and hate Onine
= 2 \//	bet are the financial and producement implications of this decision?
5.3 <u>VVI</u>	nat are the financial and procurement implications of this decision?
5.3.1	Advice has been sought from procurement (EMSCU). They have confirmed that this is a service contract for £10,000 per annum with £90,000 as a throughput for grant making. It therefore falls below EMSCU thresholds.
5.3.2	They have also confirmed as the Community Foundation are recognised as being the main provider of this type of service in the county and because of the links they already have with the community, that this is a cost effective and appropriate route to go down without the need to seek alternative suppliers.
5.3.3	£100,000 will be provided in total to the Community Foundation. 10% of the amount will be used by the Foundation for administration of the grant costs and to evaluate the impact of the initiatives funded. Given the time and effort and additional benefit of being able to draw other pots of funding to worthy initiatives through the Community Foundation this is considered good value for money.
5.3.4	As stated above, £100,000 will be for delivering on a range of areas of the Police and Crime Plan. This funding will be drawn from the Police Property Fund and Proceeds of Crime Act with the PCC funding any additional amount required to reach the £100,000 budget
5.4 <u>Wi</u>	I further decisions be required?
5.4.1	No further decisions are enticipated at this time
5.4.1	No further decisions are anticipated at this time.
5.5 <u>Le</u>	gal Implications
5.5.1	EMSCU have ensured that legal have been involved as required. The contract put in place has been developed as a template by legal.
5.6 <u>Ris</u>	k Management
5.6.1	The main risk was of procurement challenge but procurement have confirmed that this is low risk and not likely to be challenged.
5.6.2	The risk of underspend on the grant by the recipient is managed by the OPCC with the Community Foundation to ensure that all investment delivers what is expected.
5.7 <u>Ha</u>	s an Equality Impact Assessment been undertaken?
5.7.1	Yes and it is available as an appendix

6.1 The administration of grants directly by the OPCC has been considered and discounted due to lack of OPCC resources and the expertise offered by Northamptonshire Community Foundation. It would cost more for the OPCC to administer this 'in-house' than this arrangement. In addition this arrangement offers a level of independence to grant funding decisions.

7.1 Impact	review	document	Community	Foundation
	.ncf.uk.com/Uploa		RBOOK%202015(1).pdf	
7.2 Cost Endo	wment Flow throu	gh- Community Fou	ndation	
	ustice\Grants – Av	vards		
	ustice\Grants – Av	vards		
W:\PCC\Ju		vards in the Community Fou	Indation	

8. List of appendices accompanying this report (if any): None

9. Approvals		Date
Has this report been approved by the author's line manager? Paul Bullen	Y	24/3/16
Has this report been approved by the Chief Executive? Martin Scoble	Y/N	







Initial Equality Impact Assessment

COMMUNITY JUSTICE SECURITY

litle of Initiative	Making Northamptonshire Safer Communities Fund
Date	20/12/16

Regard' to:

- The elimination of discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- The advancement of equality of opportunity between and for different groups of protected characteristics
- The fostering of good relations between and for different groups of protected characteristics

This EqIA is essential to enable you to analyse impacts of equality for any police, practice or function, whether new or altered. In addition it enables consideration of opportunities to advance equality. The 'Protected characteristics' we must consider in terms of the above duties are referred to in the Equality Act 2010 'Public Sector Equality Duty' and are:

- Sex
- Gender reassignment (i.e. transgender individuals),
- Age (young and old),
- Disability (mental, and physical)
 - Race and ethnicity,

- Sexual orientation (heterosexuality, homosexuality, etc)
 - Religion or belief (including no belief)
- Pregnancy and Maternity
- Marriage and Civil Partnership Only applies to the first aim of the Public Sector Equality Duty above

NOTE: This initial equality impact assessment is at programme level and provides an overview of the impact of change, individual equality impact assessments will be undertaken at project level once agreed

Equality Analysis	
1. Who is responsible for equality analysis?	· · ·
Is this a new or existing policy, practice, service or function?	Previously we have distributed community grants through our Office of Faith Based Initiatives however since it easing there has been no formal process of how community grants are distributed.
Assessment produced by:	Helen Cook
2. Details of policy, practice, service or function	
What are the aims and objectives of the policy, practice or function? If this EqIA is assessing the impact of a proposed change please	1. To deliver meaningful community grants that deliver against specified areas of the Police and Crime Plan
describe the aims of the proposed change.	For the Northamptonshire Community Foundation to manage the whole grant making process from initiation
	of bids to award of grant and on to monitoring of
	delivery of outcomes.
	3. To enable the PCC investment to be used to lever
	additional match funding from other sources of funding to increase the delivery of initiatives to make
	Northamptonshire safer.
Who is intended to benefit from this proposal policy, practice or function?	Communities across Northamptonshire
Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the proposed	Community groups, partner organisations, voluntary and not

policy/service/function? (Partners, Community Groups, Commissioned Services etc) Who implements the proposed Policy/Service/Function and is No responsible for it?

for profit organisations

Northamptonshire Police and Crime Commission through its Head of Involvement & Communication is responsible for the funding, and Northamptonshire Community Foundation will manage the process from initiation of bids to award of grant and on to monitoring of delivery of outcomes.

3. Data, Consultation, Feedback and Analysis

Source	Reasons for using
e.g. surveys, performance information, consultation etc	
Consultation on the draft police and crime plan 2016	15% of adults, 9% of young people and 22% of police agreed with the priority Building support structures and capability within communities to empower them to make changes for themselves. An example of a comment in relation to this was "Give local areas more responsibility /accountability to funding, to focus on local issues that are causing most damage to communities"

In response to the information above, please state whether there are concerns or evidence that the policy/ procedure/ function could have a specific impact on people from the following groups?

Based on the above information, what impact will	II Can the	Can the
this proposal have on the following groups?	policy/procedure/function	policy/procedure/function
	be altered to help mitigate be	be altered to help meet

					or alleviate a negative impact?	iate a no	egative	our Pu Duty to	blic Sec b advan	our Public Sector Equality Duty to advance equality?
	Positive	Negative	Neutral	Unsure	Yes	No	Unsure	Yes	No	Unsure
Sex										
Gender Reassignment					-					
Age	\boxtimes									
Disability	\boxtimes									
Race & Ethnicity					[
Sexual Orientation										
Religion or Belief (or No										
Belief)										
Pregnancy & Maternity					l					
Human Rights			\boxtimes		1					
Other Groups				\boxtimes	1					

the Action Planning section. Are there any gaps in information and understanding of your policy and services? If yes please include how you intend to fill these gaps in



4. Action Planning

the planned proposal can be made, please use the action plan template below. Analysing equalities should be a continuous process. Where a full assessment is not required, but minor changes or amendments to

agreement with the Community grants ibute our community grants ibute our community grants sure that the Community uarterly report to the OPCC, agree teport so that we can be a grants process is reaching as Northamptonshire and ties set out in the police and ties set out in the police and agree with your findings and proposed response? 2018	Planned Actions	Responsibility	Timeframe	Success Measure
The OPCC will ensure that the Community. The Community Foundation From January Grants meet the police and community report to the OPCC, and a quartery in sport to the OPCC, and a pointer sport to the oPCC, and a bi-amual impact reports o that we can be reassured that the grants process is reaching to each operating the priorities set out in the police and communities set out in the police and crime plan. The Community Foundation From January or crime plan arrange of community from the police and crime plan. Do stakeholders agree with your findings and proposed response? Do stakeholders agree with your findings and proposed response? Initial feedback is positive from stakeholders that they will have a clear pathway through to the OPCC community grants process are reassured about the independence of the Community Foundation Initial feedback is positive from stakeholders that they will have a clear pathway through to the OPCC community grants process for there expendence of the Community Foundation Initial feedback is positive from stakeholders that cannot be easily mitigated or alleviated through minor alterations? If yes to any of the above then your proposal to meet the positive duties? If yes to any of the above then your answer YES unless you can provide strong justification below. Yes No Yes No Yes No	To agree a grant agreement with the Community foundation to distribute our community grants	Helen Cook	January 2017	Grants meet the police and crime plan priorities and are from a range of communities and demographics and locations across Northamptonshire
Do stakeholders agree with your findings and proposed response? Initial feedback is positive from stakeholders that they will have a clear pathway through to the OPCC community grants process are reassured about the independence of the Community Foundation Is there is evidence of a disproportionate adverse or positive impact on any groups of protected characteristic? Are there concerns that there may be an impact that cannot be easily mitigated or alleviated through minor alterations? If yes to any of the above then your must answer <u>YES</u> unless you can provide strong justification below. Ves No Fxplain your reasons for your answer:	The OPCC will ensure that the Community foundation send a quarterly report to the OPCC, and a bi-annual impact report so that we can be reassured that the grants process is reaching communities across Northamptonshire and meeting the priorities set out in the police and crime plan.	The Community Foundation	From January 2017-March 2018	Grants meet the police and crime plan priorities and are from a range of communities and demographics and locations across Northamptonshire
Do stakeholders agree with your findings and proposed response? Initial feedback is positive from stakeholders that they will have a clear pathway through to the OPCC community grants process are reassured about the independence of the Community Foundation Is there is evidence of a disproportionate adverse or positive impact on any groups of protected characteristic? Is there an opportunity to significantly after your proposal to meet the positive duties? If yes to any of the above then you must answer <u>YES</u> unless you can provide strong justification below. Ves No Explain your reasons for your answer:				
Initial feedback is positive from stakeholders that they will have a clear pathway through to the OPCC community grants process are reassured about the independence of the Community Foundation. Is there is evidence of a disproportionate adverse or positive impact on any groups of protected characteristic? Are there concerns that there may be an impact that cannot be easily mitigated or alleviated through minor alterations? If yes to any of the above then you must answer <u>YES</u> unless you can provide strong justification below. Yes No Foundation below. Explain your reasons for your answer:	Do stakeholders agree with your findings and k	proposed response?)	
Is there is evidence of a disproportionate adverse or positive impact on any groups of protected characteristic? Are there concerns that there may be an impact that cannot be easily mitigated or alleviated through minor alterations? Is there an opportunity to significantly alter your proposal to meet the positive duties? If yes to any of the above then you must answer <u>YES</u> unless you can provide strong justification below. Ves No No No Solution answer <u>YES</u> and the strong justification below. Explain your reasons for your answer:	Initial feedback is positive from stakeholders that are reassured about the independence of the Cor	they will have a clear pathway throu mmunity Foundation	igh to the OPCC	community grants process, and
If yes to any of the above then you must answer <u>YES</u> unless you can provide strong justification below. Yes No No Explain your reasons for your answer:	Is there is evidence of a disproportionate adve. Are there concerns that there may be an impac Is there an opportunity to significantly alter you	rse or positive impact on any gro ct that cannot be easily mitigated ur proposal to meet the positive (ups of protected or alleviated thr luties?	l characteristic? ough minor alterations?
Yes No No Explain your reasons for your answer: .	If yes to any of the above then you must answ	er <u>YES</u> unless you can provide st	ong justificatio	n below.
Explain your reasons for your answer:	No			
	Explain your reasons for your answer:			

Review Date 6. Review July 2017



COMMUNITY JUSTICE SECURITY

Full Equality Impact Assessment

This is a Full Equality Impact Assessment. It is intended to expand and build upon the material within the initial assessment.

7. Based on your analysis in the initial form, please explain in more detail the impact

	Impact Identified through initial assessment	Does the impact disproportionately affect any of the listed groups?	How can the policy be changed for certain groups to help meet our positive duties, or mitigate adverse impacts?	What consultation/ Involvement is planned to fully investigate the impact and solutions for mitigation?
Sex				
Gender Reassignment				
Age				
Disability				
Race & Ethnicity				
Sexual Orientation				
Religion or Belief (or No Belief)				•
Pregnancy & Maternity				
Human Rights				
Other Groups				

8. Full Assessment Action Plan	Action Plan				
Please outline how you will respond to the challenges and opportunities that you have identified above	will respond to the cha	Illenges and opportunit	ies that you have id	lentified above	
Action Plan					
Challenge/Opportunity	Actions	Responsibility	Timeframe	Evidence and success measures	
2 1					
	*				
9. Full Assessment Authorisation	Authorisation				
 All Equality Impact Assessments must be signed off by the Police and Crime publication. Signing off means that the Monitoring Officer will need to satisfy You have consulted and involved stakeholders from each group You have gathered all relevant evidence You have an action plan 	Equality Impact Assessments must be signed off by the Police a olication. Signing off means that the Monitoring Officer will need You have consulted and involved stakeholders from each group You have gathered all relevant evidence You have an action plan	hed off by the Police and ring Officer will need to ders from each group	d Crime Commission's M > satisfy themselves that:	Commission's Monitoring Officer before themselves that:	
Full EqIA completed by:					-
Signature of PCC's Monitoring Officer:	itoring Officer:				
Date					
Review Date					